TO:

SOLICITOR

Mail Stop 8	ALIC	0 2007	REPORT ON THE	
Mail Stop 8 Director of the U.S. Patent & Trademar	k Office AUG Z	2 ZUU/FILING	OR DETERMINATIO	N OF AN
P.O. Box 1450	No natract o Ti	ADEMARY ACTION	REGARDING A PAT	ENT OR
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	TO BURNIENI O I	PADEMANN OFFICE	TRADEMARK	` \

In Compliance with 35 § 290 and/or 15 U.S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been filed in the U.S. District Court Northern District of California on the following X Patents or Trademarks:						
DOCKET NO.			— Hademarks.			
CV 07-04209 PVT	DATE FILED 8/20/7	U.S. DISTRICT COURT	L Ct. 06112			
PLAINTIFF	8/20//	280 South First Street, Rm 2112, Sar DEFENDANT	1 JOSE, CA 95113			
MACROVISION COI	RP	MOTOROLA INC				
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATEN OR TRADEMARI		ADEMARK			
1 5,315,448		SEE ATTACHED COMPLAINT				
2 5,583,936						
3 6.381,747 51						
4 6,516.13a 81						
5 6.836,549						
In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s) have been included:						
DATE INCLUDED	INCLUDED BY	Amendment	Other Pleading			
PATENT OR	DATE OF PATEN	т				
TRADEMARK NO.	OR TRADEMARI	I HOLDER OF PATENT OR TR	ADEMARK			
1 7,050,698						
2 7,085,380						
3						
4						
5						
In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued:						
DECISION/JUDGEMENT						
CLERK		(BY) DEPUTY CLERK	DATE			
Richard W. Wieking		Betty Walton	August 20, 2007			

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT, BREACH OF CONTRACT. DECLARATORY RELIEF AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

27

28

herein, Macrovision has sustained damages for breach of contract in the sums stated above in an amount of approximately \$9,000,000.00 and on going, plus interest of 18% per annum as provided in the Motorola License Agreement, plus attorneys' fees and costs.

WHEREFORE, Macrovision prays for damages and judgment as set forth below.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Declaratory Relief)

- 44. Macrovision realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations in the foregoing paragraphs 1 to 43, as though set forth here in full.
- 45. An actual and present controversy exists concerning the legal rights and duties of Macrovision and Motorola with respect to the Motorola License Agreement, specifically whether Motorola owes past due and ongoing royalties under the License Agreement, and the amount of such royalties. Macrovision contends that Motorola has materially breached the Motorola License Agreement and that Motorola owes past due and ongoing royalties for the same, along with interest, and Motorola disputes Macrovision's contentions.
- 46. An actual controversy has arisen between the parties regarding the Motorola License Agreement. A declaratory judgment in this case is necessary and proper as such a judgment would clarify the parties' rights and obligations and eliminate the uncertainty that has been generated with respect to the parties' rights and obligations under the Motorola License Agreement.
- 47. Accordingly, Macrovision requests that this Court make the following judicial declarations: (1) the Motorola License Agreement is enforceable; (2) Motorola has materially breached the terms and conditions of the Motorola License Agreement; (3) Macrovision has provided the requisite notice and opportunity to cure; (4) Motorola has failed to cure its material breaches, and (5) Motorola owes Macrovision damages for such breaches.

WHEREFORE, Macrovision prays for damages and judgment as set forth below.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Injunctive Relief)

48. Macrovision incorporates and realleges herein the allegation in Paragraphs 1 through 47 as if set forth in full.

- 49. Pursuant to the terms of the Motorola License Agreement, upon termination due to a material breach by Motorola, Motorola must immediately cease the manufacture and distribution of all products defined in the agreement.
- 50. The Motorola License Agreement further provides that upon termination due to a material breach by Motorola, Macrovision will be entitled to seek injunctive relief and/or right of possession to enforce its rights under the provision referenced herein, without the necessity of posting a bond or other security. On information and belief, Motorola continues to ship, sell or otherwise distribute products covered by the Motorola License Agreement, including by incorporating Macrovision-enabled integrated circuits into set top boxes.
- 51. Pursuant to the Motorola License Agreement, Motorola acknowledged and agreed that if it breached the Motorola License Agreement, which it has, as a result of such breach Macrovision may be irreparably harmed and may not have an adequate remedy at law.
- 52. Motorola has materially breached the terms of the Motorola License Agreement as described herein and has failed to cure such breaches despite written notice by Macrovision.
- 53. Macrovision has been irreparably harmed by the activities of Motorola as described herein, has no adequate remedy at law upon the termination of the Motorola License Agreement, and will continue to suffer irreparable harm unless the activities of Motorola are enjoined.
- 54. In addition, as a proximate result of Motorola's acts of patent infringement described herein, Macrovision has been damaged in an amount not yet determined, and has been irreparably injured by these infringing activities, and Macrovision will continue to be damaged by such acts in the future unless Motorola's infringing activities are enjoined by this Court.
- 55. Macrovision requests a preliminary and permanent injunction from the Court upon a determination that the Motorola License Agreement is terminated enjoining Motorola from (1)

incorporating Macrovision—enabled integrated circuits into step stop boxes, and (2) shipping, selling, or otherwise distributing such set top boxes.

56. Macrovision also seeks a finding that Motorola, its officers, agents, servants, employees, and attorneys, and all those in active concert or participation with it who receive actual notice of an order, be preliminarily and permanently enjoined from further infringement of each of the Macrovision Patents

WHEREFORE, Macrovision prays for damages and judgment as set forth below.

PRAYER FOR DAMAGES AND RELIEF

As a result of the Motorola's wrongful conduct as alleged herein, Macrovision prays for judgment against Defendant as follows:

- A. That Motorola be declared to have infringed, induced, and/or contributed to the infringement of one or more claims of each of the Macrovision Patents.
- B. That Motorola, its officers, agents, servants, employees, and attorneys, and all those in active concert or participation with it who receive actual notice of an order, be preliminarily and permanently enjoined from further infringement of each of the Macrovision Patents.
- C. That Motorola be ordered to account for and pay to Macrovision all damages caused to Motorola by reason of its infringement of the Macrovision Patents, and/or as a result of Motorola's material breach of the Motorola License Agreement.
- D. That the damages for which Motorola are liable to Macrovision include, but not be limited to, no less than a reasonable royalty, lost profits, price erosion damages, and/or any and all consequential and/or "convoyed sales," such damages caused to Macrovision by virtue of Motorola's infringement of the Macrovision Patents.
- E. That appropriate damages be trebled pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284 by reason of Motorola's deliberate and willful infringement of the Macrovision Patents.
- F. That Macrovision be granted prejudgment and post judgment interest on the damages caused to it by reason of Motorola's infringement of the Macrovision

1 KENNETH E. KELLER (SBN 71450) THOMAS H. SLOAN, JR. (SBN 58322) 2 LORI L. BEHUN (SBN 202309) RICHARD W. WIEKING KRIEG, KELLER, SLOAN, REILLEY & ROMAN LLP CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT 3 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 114 Sansome Street, 4th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104 4 Telephone: (415) 249-8330 5 Facsimile: (415) 249-8333 6 Attorneys for Plaintiff E-filing MACROVISION CORPORATION 7 8 . UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 case Co.: 07 4209 11 MACROVISION CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation, 12 COMPLAINT FOR PATENT 13 Plaintiff, INFRINGEMENT, BREACH OF CONTRACT, DECLARATORY RELIEF 14 v. AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF 15 MOTOROLA INCORPORATED, a Delaware corporation. 16 JURY TRIAL DEMANDED Defendant. 17 18 Plaintiff MACROVISION CORPORATION, for its Complaint against defendant 19 20 MOTOROLA INCORPORATED, alleges as follows: 21 THE PARTIES 1. 22 Plaintiff Macrovision Corporation (where appropriate "Macrovision" or "Plaintiff") is a Delaware corporation having its principal place of business in Santa Clara, California and with 23 an office of business in San Francisco, California at all relevant times. Macrovision has been the 24 owner of anti-copy technology, which may be used to protect video material from unauthorized 25 26 copying, including in connection with pay-per-view program transmissions. 27 1 28

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT, BREACH OF CONTRACT, DECLARATORY RELIEF AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

 2. Defendant Motorola, Inc. (where appropriate "Motorola" or "Defendant") is a Delaware corporation, and doing business throughout the State of California. On information and belief, Motorola's principal place of business is located in Schaumburg, Illinois. Motorola is a purchaser and/or user of the anti-copy technology, designed and developed by Macrovision, including but not limited to Macrovision-enabled integrated circuits for incorporation into set top boxes for pay-per-view program transmission.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question) and 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a) (action arising under an Act of Congress relating to patents), and has supplemental jurisdiction over the state law claims alleged herein.
- 4. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Motorola because it has purposely availed itself of the privilege of conducting activities, including doing business, within the State of California, including the Northern District of California.
- 5. Venue is proper in this Judicial District under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 (b-c) and 1400(b), because Motorola has committed acts of patent infringement in this District. Specifically, Macrovision is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Motorola purposely manufactured, shipped, distributed, sold, imported, and/or offered for sale and promoted for sale products that infringe the patents described herein throughout the United States, including within the Northern District of California, and these activities are continuous and ongoing. Venue is further proper in this Judicial District because Macrovision does business within this Judicial District, and significant acts of Motorola that give rise to Macrovision's breach of contract action, as described herein, occurred within this Judicial District.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

6. Macrovision offers content protection, digital rights management, and software licensing solutions that enable businesses to maximize the value of their digital content and software products. Macrovision owns intellectual property rights, title and interest in and to various anti-copy technology which may be used to protect video material from unauthorized use,

including the anti-copy technology licensed by Macrovision to Motorola ("the Macrovision Anti-Copy Technology") pursuant to the agreement described herein.

- 7. Over the years, Macrovision has been granted many patents covering its anti-copy technology, as described more fully herein.
- 8. Macrovision is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at all times relevant herein, Motorola has been and continues to be engaged in the business of providing integrated, end-to-end systems that deliver digital entertainment, information, and communications services over a variety of wired and wireless broadband network architectures.
- 9. On information and belief, General Instrument Corporation ("GI") was formerly a Delaware corporation having principal place of business in San Diego, California.

The Macrovision - GI Relationship

- 10. On or about June 4, 1991, Macrovision and GI entered into a license agreement (the "GI License Agreement") by which GI was licensed to use the Macrovision Anti-Copy Technology. As part of that agreement, Macrovision licensed GI to make, use, and sell products covered by the Macrovision Anti-Copy Technology.
- 11. The GI License Agreement provided that it would automatically and immediately terminate upon expiration of certain patents referenced in the GI License Agreement. When the last of those patents expired, which occurred in 2006, the GI License Agreement terminated.
- 12. Prior to 2000, Motorola and GI were competitors in the sale of pay-per-view products that incorporate anti-copy technology. In or about January of 2000, Motorola acquired GI, and GI became a wholly owned subsidiary of Motorola.

The Macrovision - Motorola Copy Protection License Agreement

13. On or about September 9, 1998, Macrovision and Motorola entered into a confidential Copy Protection Technology License Agreement (the "Motorola License Agreement"), by which Motorola was granted the right to incorporate the Macrovision Anti-Copy Technology into Motorola digital video compression systems in set top boxes, for sale to operators of pay-per-view programming. In particular, Macrovision granted to Motorola the non-exclusive

rights to: (a) purchase from authorized suppliers devices that contain the apparatus for implementing the Process (the "Devices"); (b) incorporate such Devices into Motorola's video decoder and associated software (the "Products") as defined in the Motorola License Agreement; and (c) sell the Products to specified users. The Motorola Licensed Agreement specifically granted to Motorola the rights to incorporate Macrovision—enabled integrated circuits into set top boxes for pay-for-view program transmission and to ship, sell and otherwise distribute such set top boxes.

- 14. In consideration for these rights, Motorola agreed to pay an "Upfront Fee" and Motorola further agreed to pay a "Product Royalty Fee" of sixty-cents (\$0.60) for each unit sold by Motorola incorporating the Macrovision's anti-copy technology. Pursuant to the terms of the Motorola License Agreement, the Product Royalty Fees were and are due within 45 days after the close of each calendar quarter in which such Products have been sold. Furthermore, the Motorola License Agreement specifically provides that any payment not timely shall incur a late charge or interest of eighteen-percent (18%) per annum, from the due date until the date of actual payment.
- 15. The Motorola License Agreement also requires that Motorola keep records reflecting the number of Products sold, and submit certified quarterly reports to Macrovision in a format detailing the Products sold to end-user consumers and system operators, and the amount of royalty due to Macrovision. The quarterly reports are due no later than forty-five days following the close of the calendar quarter covered by the report, at the same time that the quarterly payment of royalty fees is due.
- 16. Under the Motorola License Agreement, Macrovision has the further right to audit the Motorola records to certify compliance with the quarterly reporting and payment requirements. If the audit determines that Motorola has understated the amount due, the additional amount due shall be paid within ten days and Motorola shall reimburse Macrovision for the costs incurred in such audit.
- 17. In the event of a material breach of the Motorola License Agreement by one party, the other party may terminate the agreement upon written notice, with a thirty day period in which the breaching party may remedy the breach. In addition, in the event of an unremedied material

 breach by Motorola, the Motorola License Agreement gives Macrovision the right to notify Motorola's Device suppliers that Motorola is no longer an authorized licensee entitled to purchase and use the Devices.

Motorola Breaches the Motorola License Agreement with Macrovision

- Agreement, and for a time complied with the requirements of reporting sales and paying royalty fees. While Motorola has continued up to the present time to market and sell products subject to the Motorola License Agreement, in or about mid-2006, Motorola began materially breaching the Motorola License Agreement by failing to submit the required quarterly reports, and by failing to make payment of the royalty fees owed for such period. Thereafter, and to the present time, Motorola has continued to breach material terms of the Motorola License Agreement by failing to supply timely and accurate quarterly reports, and by failing to make payment of the required royalty fees. Despite repeated written notices of these breaches and demands for cure and payment by Macrovision, Motorola has failed and refused to cure its breaches or to make such payments. As a result of its material breach, Motorola is no longer licensed to use any of the four specified patents of the Motorola License Agreement.
- 15. The amount of the past royalties due and owing to Macrovision from Motorola under the Motorola License Agreement from July 1, 2006 up to the present, is in excess of \$9,000,000.00, plus interest at the rate specified in the Motorola License Agreement. Motorola continues to sell Products and continues to incur an obligation to pay royalty fees, which obligation and duty Motorola continues to ignore.
- 18. On or about May 31, 2007, Macrovision once again demanded in writing that Motorola pay the past-due royalty amounts, and further gave Motorola written notice of the material breaches, as described herein, and an opportunity to remedy and cure the breaches by payment of past sums due by close of business July 27, 2007 and to acknowledge that it has an ongoing obligation to report sales and to pay royalties under the terms of the Motorola License Agreement. Motorola has not made such payments, has not remedied the material breaches, and

thus Macrovision has the right to terminate the Motorola License Agreement and all the rights under it.

19. The Motorola License Agreement entitles the prevailing party to attorney's fees, reasonable expenses, related litigation and arbitration costs and costs of suit to be paid by the non-prevailing party in any dispute, litigation or arbitration between the parties arising out of or related to the Agreement.

The Macrovision Patents

- 20. In addition to the four specific patents referenced in the Motorola License Agreement, Macrovision has been granted additional patents on its anti-copy technology, which have not been licensed to and are being infringed by Motorola.
- 21. On May 24, 1994, United States Patent No. 5,315,448 ("the '448 Patent"), entitled "Copy Protection for Hybrid Digital Video Tape Recording and Unprotected Source Material," was duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO") to Macrovision by assignment from the named inventors. A copy of the '448 Patent is attached as Exhibit A.
- 22. On December 10, 1996, United States Patent No. 5,583,936 ("the '936 Patent"), entitled "Video Copy Protection Process Enhancement to Introduce Horizontal and Vertical Picture Distortions," was duly and legally issued by the USPTO to Macrovision by assignment from the named inventors. A copy of the '936 Patent is attached as Exhibit B.
- 23. On April 30, 2002, United States Patent No. 6,381,747 B1 ("the '747 Patent"), entitled "Method for Controlling Copy Protection in Digital Video Networks," was duly and legally issued by the USPTO to Macrovision by assignment from the named inventors. A copy of the '747 Patent is attached as Exhibit C.
- 24. On February 4, 2003, United States Patent No. 6,516,132 B1 ("the '132 Patent"), entitled "Method and Apparatus for Improving the Effects of Color Burst Modifications to a Video Signal," was duly and legally issued by the USPTO to Macrovision by assignment from the named inventors. A copy of the '132 Patent is attached as Exhibit D.

- 25. On December 28, 2004, United States Patent No. 6,836,549 ("the '549 Patent"), entitled "Method and Apparatus for Synthesizing and Reducing the Effects of Video Copy Protection Signals," was duly and legally issued by the USPTO to Macrovision by assignment from the named inventors. A copy of the '549 Patent is attached as Exhibit E.
- 26. On May 23, 2006, United States Patent No. 7,050,698 ("the '698 Patent"), entitled "Method and Apparatus for Synthesizing or Modifying a Copy Protection Signal Using a Lowered Signal Level Portion," was duly and legally issued by the USPTO to Macrovision by assignment from the named inventors. A copy of the '698 Patent is attached as Exhibit F.
- 27. On August 1, 2006, United States Patent No. 7,085,380 ("the '380 Patent"), entitled "Method for Modifying a Copy Protected Video Signal With a Negative Amplitude Pulse," was duly and legally issued by the USPTO to Macrovision by assignment from the named inventors. A copy of the '380 Patent is attached as Exhibit G.
- 28. The '448 Patent, '936 Patent, '747 Patent, '132 Patent, '549 Patent, '698 Patent, and '380 Patent are referred to collectively herein, where appropriate, as "the Macrovision Patents."
- 29. Macrovision is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Motorola uses, manufactures, has manufactured, promotes, sells, offers for sale, imports, and/or distributes, either on its own or through its agents, set-top boxes, which include but are not limited to cable and satellite receivers, and digital consumer terminals and the like, and/or integrated circuits incorporated into such set-top boxes, which infringe one or more claims of each of the Macrovision Patents.

WHEREFORE, Macrovision claims as follows:

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Patent Infringement)

- 30. Macrovision realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 29 above, as though fully set forth herein.
- 31. Macrovision is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Motorola has infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims of each of the Macrovision Patents by

17 18 19

15 16

21 22

23

24 25

20

26

27 28

making, having made, using, importing, offering for sale, and/or selling set-top boxes, which include but are not limited to cable and satellite receivers, and digital consumer terminals and the like, and/or integrated circuits incorporated into such set-top boxes. Motorola's aforementioned activities constitute making, having made, using, selling, importing, offering for sale and/or promoting the use of the patented inventions of the Macrovision Patents by or to customers throughout the United States. Motorola will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court.

- 32. Macrovision is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Motorola is actively inducing others to infringe, and/or committing acts of contributory infringement of one or more claims of each of the Macrovision Patents through Motorola's activities related to the making, using, selling, importing, and/or offering for sale and promoting use of set-top boxes, which include but are not limited to cable and satellite receivers, and digital consumer terminals and the like, and/or integrated circuits incorporated into such set-top boxes, and which can be both stand-alone components and parts of an integrated system. Motorola's aforementioned activities constitute using, making, selling, importing, and/or offering for sale and promoting use of the patented inventions of the Macrovision Patents by or to customers in the United States, all in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271.
- 33. Macrovision is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Motorola has made sales of additional products as a direct result of its sales of infringing set-top boxes, including but not limited to cable and satellite receivers, and digital consumer terminals and the like, which consequential and/or "convoyed" sales Motorola would not have made but for its infringing activities, and that Macrovision has suffered a decrease in the price for competing products it has sold or offered for sale as a result of Motorola's infringing activities as set forth herein.
- 34. Macrovision is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Motorola has, and had at the time of its acts of infringement, actual or constructive notice of each of the Macrovision Patents, as well as actual or constructive notice of Motorola's infringement of each of the Macrovision Patents.